

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,328	03/03/2004	B. Ramamurthy Acharya	3123-548	4378	
7590 04/11/2006			EXAMINER		
Marsh Fischmann & Breyfogle LLP			RICKMAN, HOLLY C		
Suite 411 3151 South Vaughn Way			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Aurora, CO 80014			1773		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/~
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
Office Action Summary		10/792,328	ACHARYA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
		Holly Rickman	1773	_
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	••
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		its is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and/or or other striction.	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe tion is required if the drawing	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` '
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage	e
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/792,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Group I, claims 1-34 and Group II, claims 35-41. The species are independent or distinct because Group I is directed to a magnetic recording medium having anti-parallel coupling of adjacent magnetic layers whereas Group II is directed to a recording medium having soft magnetic layers with different saturation magnetizations.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to David Dockery on 4/6/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,328 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773